ENTROPY-BASED BENCHMARKING METHODS

Umed Temurshoev

Faculty of Economics and Business University of Groningen, PO Box 800 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

This project is funded by the European Commission, Research Directorate General as part of the 7th Framework Programme, Theme 8: Socio-Economic Sciences and Humanities. Grant Agreement no: 225 281

19th IIOA Conference, Alexandria, VA, USA, 13-17 June 2011





(RuG)



- 2 Denton and Causey-Trager methods
- SemiGRAS Method
- **3** Entropy-based methods
- **(5)** Empirical illustration





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

WHAT IS BENCHMARKING?

- Series of high-frequency data: quarterly, monthly, daily
 - Timely data, only information about the short-term movements, less reliable





3 / 22

< A

19th IIOA Conference

(RuG)

Benchmarking

WHAT IS BENCHMARKING?

- Series of high-frequency data: quarterly, monthly, daily
 - Timely data, only information about the short-term movements, less reliable
- Series of low-frequency data: annual series
 - High precision, reliable information on the aggregate level and long-term movements





3 / 22

< 一型

19th IIOA Conference

WHAT IS BENCHMARKING?

- Series of high-frequency data: quarterly, monthly, daily
 - Timely data, only information about the short-term movements, less reliable
- Series of low-frequency data: annual series
 - High precision, reliable information on the aggregate level and long-term movements
- Benchmarking problem: combine the relative strengths of the inconsistent low- and high-frequency series





3 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

FIGURE: Benchmarking problem example (Source: Bloem et al. (2001), QNA Manual, IMF, Washington DC, p. 91)

	Indic	ator		
		Period-to		
		Period	Annual	Annual
_	The	rate of	Data	BI Ratio
Date	Indicator	Change	2000A	2000A
ql 1998	98.2			
q2 1998	100.3	2.6%		
q3 1998	102.2	1.4%		
q4 1998	100.8	-1.4%		
Sum	402.0		4,000.0	9.950
ql 1999	99.0	-1.8%		
q2 1999	101.6	2.6%		
q3 1999	102.7	1.1%		
q4 1999	101.5	-1.2%		
Sum	404.8	0.7%	4,161.4	10.280
gl 2000	100.5	-1.0%		
q2 2000	103.0	2.5%		
q3 2000	103.5	0.5%		
q4 2000	101.5	-1.9%		
Sum	408.5	0.9%	4,100.0	10.037





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 口 > < 同 >



• Basis of current benchmarking methods: principle of movement preservation





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

Methods

- Basis of current benchmarking methods: principle of movement preservation
- Mathematical methods: deterministic, binding annual constraints
 - Denton (1971), Causey and Trager (1981)





Methods

- Basis of current benchmarking methods: principle of movement preservation
- Mathematical methods: deterministic, binding annual constraints
 - Denton (1971), Causey and Trager (1981)
- Statistical methods: stochastic, binding/nonbinding constraints
 - Regression methods: Chow and Lin (1971), ARIMA and generalized regression-based methods (Dagum and Cholette, 2006)





Assessment and applications

• Chen (2007): 60 series from the US national economic accounts "the modified Denton proportional first difference method outperforms the other methods, though the Causey-Trager growth preservation model is a close competitor in certain cases."





6 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

(RuG)

Benchmarking

Assessment and applications

- Chen (2007): 60 series from the US national economic accounts "the modified Denton proportional first difference method outperforms the other methods, though the Causey-Trager growth preservation model is a close competitor in certain cases."
- Denton procedures: BEA, Statistics Netherlands
- Causey-Trager procedure: US Census Bureau (Brown 2010, Titova et al. 2010)





This paper

- Principle of movement and sign preservation
 - Motivation: abundant series are volatile and/or include both positive and negative values





7 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

(RuG)

Benchmarking

This paper

- Principle of movement and sign preservation
 - Motivation: abundant series are volatile and/or include both positive and negative values
- Extended pro-rata distribution (semiGRAS method)





7 / 22

- 一司

19th IIOA Conference

This paper

- Principle of movement and sign preservation
 - Motivation: abundant series are volatile and/or include both positive and negative values
- Extended pro-rata distribution (semiGRAS method)
- Entropy-based benchmarking methods





7 / 22

- 一司

NOTATIONS

t = 1, ..., T years i = 1, ..., I sub-periods for each year $\mathbf{z}_t = I \times 1 \text{ vector of observed indicator series for year } t$ $\mathbf{x}_t = I \times 1 \text{ vector of estimated benchmarked series for year } t$ $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}'_1, \mathbf{z}'_2, ..., \mathbf{z}'_T)'$ $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, ..., \mathbf{x}'_T)'$ $\mathbf{y} = T \times 1 \text{ vector of annual data}$





8 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

Benchmarking

NOTATIONS

t = 1, ..., T years i = 1, ..., I sub-periods for each year $\mathbf{z}_t = I \times 1 \text{ vector of observed indicator series for year } t$ $\mathbf{x}_t = I \times 1 \text{ vector of estimated benchmarked series for year } t$ $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}'_1, \mathbf{z}'_2, ..., \mathbf{z}'_T)'$ $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}'_2, ..., \mathbf{x}'_T)'$ $\mathbf{y} = T \times 1 \text{ vector of annual data}$ Consider annual constraints $i'\mathbf{x}_t = y_t$ for all t, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\imath}' & \mathbf{0}' & \vdots & \mathbf{0}' \\ \mathbf{0}' & \mathbf{\imath}' & \vdots & \mathbf{0}' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0}' & \mathbf{0}' & \cdots & \mathbf{\imath}' \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$



**** **** SEVENTH FRAMEWOO

8 / 22

(RuG)

DENTON (1971)

• The *additive first difference* (AFD) and *proportional first difference* (PFD) variants are:

$$f_{AFD} = (x_1 - z_1)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{N} [(x_j - z_j) - (x_{j-1} - z_{j-1})]^2, \quad (1)$$

$$f_{PFD} = \left(\frac{x_1}{z_1} - 1\right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left(\frac{x_j}{z_j} - \frac{x_{j-1}}{z_{j-1}}\right)^2, \quad (2)$$

subject to $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.



9 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

Denton and Causey-Trager methods

CAUSEY AND TRAGER (1981)

• Growth rate preservation principle:

$$f_{CT} = \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left(\frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} - \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} \right)^2$$

subject to $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.

(RuG)





10 / 22

(3)

CAUSEY AND TRAGER (1981)

• Growth rate preservation principle:

$$f_{CT} = \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left(\frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} - \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} \right)^2.$$

subject to $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.

(RuG)

• For all methods, changing sign is possible!





(3)

Apply the Generalized RAS idea to benchmarking (Günlük-Şenesen and Bates 1988, Junius and Oosterhaven 2003)

Result

(RuG)

The solution of the benchmarking problem is $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{P}\hat{\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{N}\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}$, where the annual adjustment factors \mathbf{s} are derived from

$$\mathbf{s} = 0.5 imes \widehat{\mathbf{P}' \imath}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} + \sqrt{\mathbf{y} \circ \mathbf{y} + 4 imes (\mathbf{P}' \imath) \circ (\mathbf{N}' \imath)}
ight),$$

where
$$\mathbf{Z}=(\mathsf{z}_1,\mathsf{z}_2,\ldots,\mathsf{z}_{\mathcal{T}})$$
, $\mathbf{X}=(\mathsf{x}_1,\mathsf{x}_2,\ldots,\mathsf{x}_{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{N}$.

Level preservation principle \Rightarrow step problem due to discontinuities between years

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

•
$$\frac{x_j}{z_j} = \frac{x_{j-1}}{z_{j-1}} + \varepsilon_{j0}$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ and $\varepsilon_j = z_{j-1}\varepsilon_{j0}$, then





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 口 > < 同 >

•
$$\frac{x_j}{z_j} = \frac{x_{j-1}}{z_{j-1}} + \varepsilon_{j0}$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ and $\varepsilon_j = z_{j-1}\varepsilon_{j0}$, then
• $x_j = g_j x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$ for all $j = 2, \dots N (= IT)$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

- < A

•
$$\frac{x_j}{z_j} = \frac{x_{j-1}}{z_{j-1}} + \varepsilon_{j0}$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ and $\varepsilon_j = z_{j-1}\varepsilon_{j0}$, then
• $x_j = g_j x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$ for all $j = 2, \dots, N(=IT)$
• $\begin{bmatrix} x_N - g_N x_{N-1} = \varepsilon_N \\ x_{N-1} - g_{N-1} x_{N-2} = \varepsilon_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_2 - g_2 x_1 = \varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow$
• $\begin{bmatrix} 1 - g_N & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - g_{N-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 - g_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_N \\ x_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_N \\ \varepsilon_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_1 \end{bmatrix}$

12 / 22

SEVENTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME

* *

3 ⊳

•
$$\frac{x_j}{z_j} = \frac{x_{j-1}}{z_{j-1}} + \varepsilon_{j0}$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ and $\varepsilon_j = z_{j-1}\varepsilon_{j0}$, then
• $x_j = g_j x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$ for all $j = 2, \dots, N (= IT)$
• $\begin{bmatrix} x_N - g_N x_{N-1} = \varepsilon_N \\ x_{N-1} - g_{N-1} x_{N-2} = \varepsilon_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_2 - g_2 x_1 = \varepsilon_2 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -g_N & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -g_{N-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -g_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_N \\ x_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_N \\ \varepsilon_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_1 \end{bmatrix}$
FD constraints: $\mathbf{C}_P \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \varepsilon$

(RuG)

19th IIOA Conference

12 / 22

SEVENTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME

ullet Annual constraints with non-binding possibilities: $ullet = \mathbf{B} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{\tau}$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 口 > < 同

• Annual constraints with non-binding possibilities: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{ au}$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C}_P \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tau} \\ -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{\tilde{y}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{e}$$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 口 > < 同

• Annual constraints with non-binding possibilities: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{ au}$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C}_P \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau \\ -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{e}$$

• OLS?





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 口 > < 同

 \bullet Annual constraints with non-binding possibilities: $\textbf{y}=\textbf{B}\tilde{\textbf{x}}+\boldsymbol{\tau}$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C}_P \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tau} \\ -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{e}$$

• OLS?

• Generalized cross-entropy (Golan et al. 1996): principle of minimum discrimination information (Kullback 1959)





13 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

• Annual constraints with non-binding possibilities: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{ au}$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C}_P \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tau} \\ -\mathbf{\varepsilon} \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{\tilde{y}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{e}$$

• OLS?

- Generalized cross-entropy (Golan et al. 1996): principle of minimum discrimination information (Kullback 1959)
- Treat \tilde{x}_j as a discrete random variable with a compact support and M possible outcomes $\mathbf{r}_j = (r_{j1}, \ldots, r_{jM})'$ with $2 \le M < \infty$, i.e.,

ΛΛ

$$\tilde{x}_{j} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} r_{jm} p_{jm} = \mathbf{r}_{j}' \mathbf{p}_{j}.$$
(RuG)
Benchmarking
19th IIOA Conference
13 / 22

• Similarly,
$$e_k = \sum_{j=1}^J v_{kj} w_{kj} = \mathbf{v}'_k \mathbf{w}_k$$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

• Similarly,
$$e_k = \sum_{j=1}^J v_{kj} w_{kj} = \mathbf{v}'_k \mathbf{w}_k$$

• Reparameterize: $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{e} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{w}$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

• Similarly,
$$e_k = \sum_{j=1}^J v_{kj} w_{kj} = \mathbf{v}'_k \mathbf{w}_k$$

- Reparameterize: $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{e} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{w}$
- \bullet Let q and u be prior weights of \tilde{x} and e, respectively





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

• Similarly,
$$e_k = \sum_{j=1}^J v_{kj} w_{kj} = \mathbf{v}'_k \mathbf{w}_k$$

- Reparameterize: $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{e} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{w}$
- \bullet Let q and u be prior weights of \tilde{x} and e, respectively
- The GCE estimator is

$$\min_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{w}} I(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{w},\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{p}' \log(\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{w}' \log(\mathbf{w}/\mathbf{u})$$
(4)

subject to

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{Z} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{w},$$
 (5)

$$\boldsymbol{\imath} = (\mathbf{I} \otimes \boldsymbol{\imath}')\mathbf{p},\tag{6}$$

$$\imath = (\mathsf{I} \otimes \imath')\mathsf{w},$$



(RuG)

19th IIOA Conference

14 / 22

SEVENTH FRAMEWOR

(7)

•
$$x_j - z_j = (x_{j-1} - z_{j-1}) + \varepsilon_j$$
 for all $2 = 1, ..., N$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

•
$$x_j - z_j = (x_{j-1} - z_{j-1}) + \varepsilon_j$$
 for all $2 = 1, ..., N$
• $z_j - z_{j-1} = x_j - x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$
• $\begin{bmatrix} z_N - z_{N-1} \\ z_{N-1} - z_{N-2} \\ \vdots \\ z_2 - z_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_N \\ x_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_N \\ \varepsilon_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_1 \end{bmatrix}$



< □ > < ---->

•
$$x_j - z_j = (x_{j-1} - z_{j-1}) + \varepsilon_j$$
 for all $2 = 1, ..., N$
• $z_j - z_{j-1} = x_j - x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$
• $\begin{bmatrix} z_N - z_{N-1} \\ z_{N-1} - z_{N-2} \\ \vdots \\ z_2 - z_1 \end{bmatrix} =$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_N \\ x_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_N \\ \varepsilon_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_1 \end{bmatrix}$
• FD constraints: $\Delta z = C_A \tilde{x} + \varepsilon$

(RuG)

19th IIOA Conference

3 × 4 3 ×

15 / 22

SEVENTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME

ADDITIVE FIRST DIFFERENCE PRESERVATION

ullet Annual constraints with non-binding possibilities: $ullet = \mathbf{B} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{\tau}$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \Delta \mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C}_A \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tau} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon} \end{bmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{\tilde{y}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\tilde{x}} + \mathbf{e}$$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

Image: Image:

Entropy-based methods

GROWTH RATE PRESERVATION

•
$$rac{x_j}{x_{j-1}}=rac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}+\zeta_j$$
, denote $g_j=rac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ then





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

GROWTH RATE PRESERVATION

•
$$\frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} + \zeta_j$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ then
• $x_j = (g_j + \zeta_j)x_{j-1}$ for all $2 = 1, \dots N$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

GROWTH RATE PRESERVATION

•
$$\frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} + \zeta_j$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ then
• $x_j = (g_j + \zeta_j)x_{j-1}$ for all $2 = 1, \dots N$
• Assume $\zeta_j = \zeta + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{x_{j-1}}$, then $x_j = (g_j + \zeta)x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$





17 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

(RuG)

Benchmarking

GROWTH RATE PRESERVATION

•
$$\frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} + \zeta_j$$
, denote $g_j = \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}}$ then
• $x_j = (g_j + \zeta_j)x_{j-1}$ for all $2 = 1, \dots N$
• Assume $\zeta_j = \zeta + \frac{\varepsilon_j}{x_{j-1}}$, then $x_j = (g_j + \zeta)x_{j-1} + \varepsilon_j$

• Work in progress





17 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

Benchmarking

2 Average absolute level difference:
$$AALD = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_j - z_j|}{N}$$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

• Average absolute level difference:
$$AALD = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_j - z_j|}{N}$$

Average absolute change difference: $AACD = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{N} |(x_j - x_{j-1}) - (z_j - z_{j-1})|}{N-1} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{N} |(x_j - z_j) - (x_{j-1} - z_{j-1})|}{N-1}$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

• Average absolute level difference:
$$AALD = rac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_j - z_j|}{N}$$

- 2 Average absolute change difference: $AACD = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{N} |(x_j - x_{j-1}) - (z_j - z_{j-1})|}{N-1} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{N} |(x_j - z_j) - (x_{j-1} - z_{j-1})|}{N-1}$
- Average absolute proportional difference: $AAPD = \frac{100}{N-1} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left| \frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} \right|$





18 / 22

19th IIOA Conference

Benchmarking

• Average absolute level difference:
$$AALD = rac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_j - z_j|}{N}$$

- Average absolute change difference: $AACD = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{N} |(x_j x_{j-1}) (z_j z_{j-1})|}{N-1} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{N} |(x_j z_j) (x_{j-1} z_{j-1})|}{N-1}$
- Average absolute proportional difference: $AAPD = \frac{100}{N-1} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left| \frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} \right|$
- Average absolute relative proportional difference: $AARPD = \frac{100}{N-1} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \left| \left(\frac{x_j}{x_{j-1}} \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} \right) / \frac{z_j}{z_{j-1}} \right|.$





$z = (50 \ 100 \ 150 \ 100 \ \dots \ 50 \ 100 \ 150 \ 100)'$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

$$\mathbf{z} = (50\ 100\ 150\ 100\ \dots\ 50\ 100\ 150\ 100)'$$
$$\mathbf{Bz} = \begin{bmatrix} 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 500\\ 400\\ 300\\ 400\\ 500 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{y}$$





Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

$$\mathbf{z} = (50\ 100\ 150\ 100\ \dots\ 50\ 100\ 150\ 100)'$$
$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 500\\ 400\\ 300\\ 400\\ 500 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{y}$$
$$\mathbf{r}'_j = (0.1, 0.55, 1, 1.45, 1.9) \times z_j \text{ with } \mathbf{q}'_j = (0.05\ 0.05\ 0.98\ 0.05\ 0.05)$$





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

$$\mathbf{z} = (50 \ 100 \ 150 \ 100 \ \dots \ 50 \ 100 \ 150 \ 100)'$$

$$\mathbf{Bz} = \begin{bmatrix} 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400\\ 400 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 500\\ 400\\ 300\\ 400\\ 500 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{y}$$

 $\mathbf{r}'_j = (0.1, 0.55, 1, 1.45, 1.9) imes z_j$ with $\mathbf{q}'_j = (0.05\, 0.05\, 0.98\, 0.05\, 0.05)$

$${f v}_k' = (-1.7, -0.85, 0, 0.85, 1.7) imes \sigma_{f z}$$
 with

 $\mathbf{u}_k'=(0.05\,0.05\,0.98\,0.05\,0.05)$ except for the annual constraints with $\mathbf{u}_k'=(0~0~1~0~0)$



RESULTS

$\ensuremath{\mathrm{TABLE}}$: Some aggregate indicators

	f _{CT}	AALD	AACD	AAPD (%)	AARPD (%)
SemiGRAS	0.069	15.000	9.868	2.719	5.439
AFD Denton	1.198	18.324	5.972	17.510	13.260
AFD Entropy	0.323	16.774	6.270	10.360	9.440
PFD Denton	0.144	17.563	10.565	6.971	6.088
PFD Entropy	0.078	15.557	10.277	4.508	5.962
СТ	0.044	16.553	10.348	3.761	5.761





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

- Advantages of entropy-based benchmarking methods
 - (Any choice of) Binding and/or nonbinding constraints





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 🗇 🕨

Ø Advantages of entropy-based benchmarking methods

- (Any choice of) Binding and/or nonbinding constraints
- Reliability indicators for each element





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

Ø Advantages of entropy-based benchmarking methods

- (Any choice of) Binding and/or nonbinding constraints
- Reliability indicators for each element
- Applicable for any size of yearly observations





21 / 22

- 一司

19th IIOA Conference

Benchmarking

Ø Advantages of entropy-based benchmarking methods

- (Any choice of) Binding and/or nonbinding constraints
- Reliability indicators for each element
- Applicable for any size of yearly observations
- Using non-sample information





21 / 22

- 一司

19th IIOA Conference

Benchmarking

Ø Advantages of entropy-based benchmarking methods

- (Any choice of) Binding and/or nonbinding constraints
- Reliability indicators for each element
- Applicable for any size of yearly observations
- Using non-sample information
- Controlling for sign change





- 一司

Ø Advantages of entropy-based benchmarking methods

- (Any choice of) Binding and/or nonbinding constraints
- Reliability indicators for each element
- Applicable for any size of yearly observations
- Using non-sample information
- Controlling for sign change
- Plausible competitors to current benchmarking methods





THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!





(RuG)

Benchmarking

19th IIOA Conference

< 口 > < 同