

1 Waste input-output model at substance level

2 Jing Li\*  
and Chen Lin\*  
and Shaoan Huang†

3 April 23, 2012

4 **Abstract**

5 This paper proposes a waste input-output model at the substance  
6 level (WIOS) that considers the substance composition of wastes. Be-  
7 cause the change of substance composition in the waste treatment pro-  
8 cesses potentially affects the life cycle inventory of waste treatment,  
9 the proposed model is expected to obtain more accurate results than  
10 the hybrid models that did not consider the substance composition.  
11 In addition, this model provides a method to trace the substances  
12 of waste in treatment processes by using hybrid input-output model.  
13 For illustration, the WIOS is applied to wastewater treatment. The  
14 calculation result shows that the change of substance composition sig-  
15 nificantly affects the total environmental loads caused by wastewater  
16 treatment. This result is different with the simulation result given by

---

\*The Center for Economic Research, Shandong University

†School of Economics, Central University of Finance and Economics

1 current existing hybrid input-output models that did not consider the  
2 change of substance composition in wastewater.

3 **Keywords:** hybrid, waste treatment, substance, input-output model

## 4 **1 Introduction**

5 In the field of life cycle assessment (LCA), as the change of substance compo-  
6 sition in the waste treatment process potentially affects the inventory results  
7 of waste treatment, modeling this change is an important issue. For instance,  
8 the inputs of the auxiliary fuel to the incinerator of sludge depend on the  
9 composition of wastewater (Metcalf & Eddy, inc, 2003). Thus, in the studies  
10 of process-based LCA of waste treatment, such as Finnveden et al. (1995),  
11 Tanaka and Matsuto (1998), and Köhler et al. (2007), the substance compo-  
12 sition of waste was considered. Additionally, Huang et al. (1999), Galan and  
13 Grossmann (1998), Hernandez-Suarez et al. (2004), and Lim et al. (2008), use  
14 the mathematical structure to analyze the treatment processes of substances  
15 in wastewater. However, the boundary selection problem of process-based  
16 LCA has been an important obstacle for comparative assessment to be dis-  
17 closed to the public (Geneva, 1997). Under this background, hybrid LCA  
18 based on the input-output analysis (IOA) is introduced to ease the arbitrari-  
19 ness of the definition of system boundary (Suh, 2004; Suh et al., 2004). As  
20 the authors' knowledge, few studies of hybrid LCA of waste treatment, how-  
21 ever, modeled the composition of substance contained in the waste. This is

1 because the processes added to input-output sectors were normally classified  
2 by the treatment methods but not the composition of waste.

3 Waste input-output model (WIO) proposed by Nakamura and Kondo  
4 (2002) is an asymmetric model that considers both waste and treatment pro-  
5 cesses. Importantly, it needs not keep a one-to-one corresponding relationship  
6 between waste types and treatment processes. This mathematical structure  
7 provides a starting point to consider the substances in the treatment pro-  
8 cesses, if we define the substances as “wastes”. Until now, the currently  
9 existing WIO did not go to the substance level. A potential uncertainty of  
10 this type of treatment is that in the linear WIO, the input coefficients of a  
11 given process were not influenced by the composition of waste. The authors  
12 of WIO noticed this problem and proposed nonlinearity by an engineering  
13 model (Nakamura and Kondo, 2002; Kondo and Nakamura, 2005). However,  
14 although the complication of Japanese WIO table (Nakamura and Kondo,  
15 2002) and few studies about the WIO (Kondo and Nakamura, 2005) consid-  
16 ered the nonlinearity by using the engineering model of the municipal solid  
17 waste management (Tanaka and Matsuto, 1998), most of the applications  
18 based on WIO, such as Takase et al. (2005) and Lin (2009), still remain in  
19 the linear part.

20 Under this background, this paper proposes a new method based on linear  
21 WIO to consider the composition of waste, named waste input-output model  
22 at the substance level (WIOS). The principle of the model is to disaggregate  
23 the waste into the substance level. For instance, instead of considering iron

1 scrap as a whole, this model considers iron, carbon, and phosphorus, sepa-  
2 rately. This principle is similar to the substance flow analysis in the context  
3 of the material flow analysis (Brunner and Rechberger, 2004). Thus, the  
4 significance of the new method is not limited to the acquirement of accurate  
5 results for the life cycle inventory of waste treatment. Tracing the substance  
6 flows by using hybrid IO model is another feature of this model.

7 Wastewater is characterized by the wide range of its composition, es-  
8 pecially in extreme cases, e.g., the storm (Metcalf & Eddy, inc, 2003). In  
9 the hybrid IO models given by Duchin (1990) and Lin (2009), wastewater  
10 is pre-classified by the composition, the change of the composition of one  
11 pre-defined wastewater type, however, has not been considered. Because of  
12 the changeability of the wastewater composition, this paper illustrates our  
13 method by applying it to the wastewater treatment system. We introduce  
14 the framework and compilation method in section 2. Next, the application  
15 of the compilation method is described with the German data of wastewater  
16 treatment in section 3. Finally, discussion are presented.

## 1 **2 The model**

### 2 **2.1 Structure of the waste input output model at the** 3 **substance level**

4 In WIOS, we disaggregate the waste into the substance level. The input-  
5 s and by-products of treatment processes are related to both waste types  
6 and substances contained in the waste. For instance, the treatment of or-  
7 ganic carbon in raw wastewater is different from that in the effluent from  
8 the first treatment. Substances in different processes are considered as d-  
9 ifferent types of wastes in this model. Therefore, all the combinations of  
10 substances and wastes after treatment processes are considered. As shown  
11 in table ??, we take into consideration the combinations of the substance 1  
12 to substance  $n_s$  and waste 1 to waste  $n_w$ , as the added row sectors. In the  
13 following part of this paper, we called these combinations waste-substances.  
14 Meanwhile, the quantity of wastes is potentially related to the inputs. For  
15 instance, the volume of wastewater is related to the electricity usage by the  
16 pump facility of the treatment plant. Thus, the “quantity” is also consid-  
17 ered as a “substance”. Furthermore, we consider all the combinations of  
18 substances and treatment processes, because the substance in the different  
19 waste is treated by the different treatment processes. As shown in table ??,  
20 under each substance there are all the treatment processes, from “treatment  
21 1” to “treatment  $n_t$ ”, as the added column sectors.

22 Table 1 shows the framework of WIOS.  $A_{I,I}$  is the input coefficient ma-

1 trix of production sectors, whose  $(i, j)$ -component refers to the input from  
 2 production sector  $i$  per unit of output of production sector  $j$ .  $A_{I,II}$  is the  
 3 input coefficient matrix of treatment processes, which measures the inputs  
 4 used by different treatment processes for the treatment of one unit of waste-  
 5 substance.  $G_{W,I}$  refers to the waste-substance generated by one unit of output  
 6 of production sectors, while  $G_{W,II}$  denotes to the waste-substance generated  
 7 by one unit of treatment.  $X_{I,F}$  is the final demand for production sectors  
 8 and  $W_{W,F}$  is the waste-substance generated by the final demand sectors. The  
 9 environmental loads are recorded by  $R_{..}$ .

10 The WIOS also needs not to keep a one-to-one corresponding relation-  
 11 ship between types of waste-substances and the treatment processes. In  
 12 accordance with WIO, we defined an allocation matrix  $S$  to allocate waste-  
 13 substances to treatment processes. Table 2 shows the framework of the allo-  
 14 cation matrix. In order to be consistent with table 1, we still take the com-  
 15 binations of substances and waste after treatment processes and the com-  
 16 binations of substances and treatment processes as the first row and first  
 17 column respectively in table 2. The allocation matrix in the WIOS thus can  
 18 be seen as the combination of the allocation matrix of each waste-substance.  
 19 Every allocation matrix of the waste-substance has the same structure and  
 20 characteristics with that of the WIO. The whole allocation matrix  $S$  also has  
 21 these features including the element in the matrix is non-negative and the  
 22 sum of each column is equal to unity.

23 The WIOS is based on the linear WIO, introduced by Nakamura and

1 Kondo (2002), so the mathematical structure is the same with that of WIO.<sup>1</sup>  
 2 The environmental loads caused by given exogenous final demand and waste-  
 3 substance generation are obtained by

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} R_I & R_{II} \end{pmatrix} \left( I - \begin{pmatrix} A_{I,I} & A_{I,II} \\ SG_{W,I} & SG_{W,II} \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X_{I,F} \\ SW_{W,F} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

4 It should be noted that the principle of the classification of the wastes and  
 5 the treatment processes of the WIOS is different with the WIO. The former  
 6 focuses on the substance level. When we apply the WIOS to the LCA of  
 7 waste treatment,  $W_{W,F}$  is considered as the amount of waste into the gate of  
 8 the waste treatment plant.

---

<sup>1</sup>It should be noted that in the general IOA cases, we are discussing the non-singularity of (I-A). Similarly, in the context of WIO or WIOS, we are discussing the non-singularity of  $\left( I - \begin{pmatrix} A_{I,I} & A_{I,II} \\ SG_{W,I} & SG_{W,II} \end{pmatrix} \right)$  rather than  $\begin{pmatrix} A_{I,I} & A_{I,II} \\ SG_{W,I} & SG_{W,II} \end{pmatrix}$ . The identities in  $I$  with regard to economic sectors refer to one unit of production of economic sectors, similarly the identities with regards to the treatment processes refer to treatment of one unit of waste. The proof of the solvability of equation 1 is the same with that of  $x = (I - A)^{-1}f$  in the general IOA. Actually, the WIO structure can potentially avoid the negative elements in A, which can let the matrix to satisfy the nonnegative condition of Nikkaido theorem (Nakamura and Kondo, 2009).

# 1 **3 An application of WIOS to wastewater treat-** 2 **ment**

## 3 **3.1 Data and model design**

4 In this study, we apply WIOS to wastewater treatment as an illustration. The  
5 inventory data given by Köhler et al. (2007) at the substance level are used  
6 to construct the WIOS for the wastewater treatment of Ciba Specialty Chem-  
7 icals in Germany, along with the technical coefficient matrix for production  
8 sectors given by German IO table of 2007 (Statistisches Bundesamt Deutsch-  
9 land, 2007), which includes 59 production sectors. Meanwhile, the total or-  
10 ganic carbon (TOC) release of production sectors are estimated from elemen-  
11 tary flow data of unit-processes given by Ecoinvent database (Frischknecht  
12 et al., 2005). The wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) analyzed in Köhler  
13 et al. (2007), which established in 2003, consists of an extraction process, a  
14 nanofiltration process, and a mechanical-biological treatment process. The  
15 extraction process is used to remove the recalcitrant organic pollutants. The  
16 nanofiltration process is a pure physical separation process to remove organ-  
17 ic pollutants. The mechanical-biological treatment process is operated to  
18 microbiologically decompose organic substances and remove nitrogen com-  
19 pounds. In the treatment process, however, the wastewater classified by the  
20 concentration of TOC, so we have two types of wastewater — wastewater  
21 (TOC <5g/L) and wastewater (TOC 9g/L-10g/L). Because the inputs and



1 outputs of the mechanical-biological treatment process of the effluent from  
2 the extraction and nanofiltration processes are different, we consider them as  
3 different processes. According to this, in our model, we define 4 treatment  
4 processes, which are extraction, nanofiltration, mechanical-biological treat-  
5 ment E, and mechanical-biological treatment N. Mechanical-biological treat-  
6 ment process E refers to the treatment process after the extraction process  
7 while mechanical-biological treatment process N refers to treatment process  
8 after the nanofiltration processes. When the concentration of TOC in the  
9 wastewater is less than 5g/L, the first path is used to treat it, which includes  
10 the nanofiltration process and the mechanical-biological treatment process N.  
11 When the concentration of TOC is more than 9g/L but less than 10g/L, the  
12 second path is used to treat it, which includes the extraction process and the  
13 mechanical-biological treatment process E. It should be noted that the cal-  
14 culation structure of WIOS is a linear model. In the application, we use the  
15 WIOS to show the environmental loads due to two types of wastewater. They  
16 are considered as two scenarios using the same model structure. Because of  
17 the usage of different allocation matrices, the change in the application can  
18 be considered as a nonlinear process.

19 7 types of substances are considered. They are wastewater volume,  $\text{TOC}_{\text{refractory}}$ ,  
20  $\text{TOC}_{\text{degradable}}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N}$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^- - \text{N}$ , and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-} - \text{P}$ . TOC mea-  
21 sures the amount of carbon bound in an organic compound and is often used  
22 as a non-specific indicator of water quality or cleanliness of pharmaceutical  
23 manufacturing equipment (Association et al., 1912). Two types of TOC are

1 considered in this paper:  $\text{TOC}_{\text{refractory}}$  and  $\text{TOC}_{\text{degradable}}$ . Meanwhile we take  
2  $\text{TOC}$  discharged by the production sectors and treatment processes as the  
3 indicator to measure the environmental loads.

4 In summary, 59 production sectors, 7 substances, and 4 treatment pro-  
5 cesses are considered in our application. As the space is limited, an aggregat-  
6 ed version, which includes 3 production sectors, 7 substances, and 4 treatment  
7 processes, is shown in table 3. As mentioned above,  $A_{I,II}$  measures the input  
8 for one unit of waste-substance of each process in monetary terms. Because  
9 the process data we used from the paper of Köhler et al. (2007) is measured  
10 in physical terms, we obtain the data of the prices of each input through the  
11 paper of Smith and Varbanov (2005) and the database of IChemE Education  
12 Subject Group (2002). In order to treat one kg of  $\text{TOC}_{\text{refractory}}$ , 0.073 Euros  
13 inputs from the secondary industry is used by the extraction process. In  
14 this paper, we assume that the wastewater generated by production sectors  
15 are treated by themselves instead of entering the WWTP under study. Thus,  
16 the middle-left part of table 3, which refers to the waste-substance generation  
17 coefficient of production sectors,  $G_{W,I}$ , are zeros. Meanwhile, their  $\text{TOC}$  re-  
18 lease after treatment is considered as environmental loads, which is recorded  
19 in  $R_I$ . The generation coefficient of waste-substances from treatment pro-  
20 cesses is used to record the substance generation by one unit of treatment.  
21 One minus the above-mentioned coefficient equals the removal rate of treat-  
22 ment processes. For instance, 3.5 % of  $\text{TOC}_{\text{refractory}}$  is remained after the  
23 extraction process. This indicates that 96.5 % of  $\text{TOC}_{\text{refractory}}$  is removed by

1 the extraction process. It should be noted that the volume of wastewater  
2 does not change in the treatment processes. As our model is a hybrid model  
3 that includes IO, the production of upstream inputs is include in the system  
4 boundary. The downstream treatment processes, such as sludge treatment  
5 was not considered in this application.

## 6 **3.2 Result**

7 By using equation 1, we calculate the total TOC release (the environmental  
8 loads) caused by the treatment of raw wastewater with different TOC con-  
9 centration. The results are illustrated in figure ?? and ?. In these figures,  
10 the exogenous variables  $\text{TOC}_{\text{refractory}}$  and  $\text{TOC}_{\text{degradable}}$  are shown in x-axis  
11 and y-axis, respectively. As the wastewater is treated by two different pathes  
12 due to the range of TOC concentration, we use two figures to illustrate the  
13 results. Figure ? is the environmental load of wastewater ( $\text{TOC} < 5\text{g/L}$ ),  
14 while figure ? is that of the wastewater ( $\text{TOC } 9\text{g/L}-10\text{g/L}$ ). The slopes of  
15 the two figures illustrate that different concentration of TOC causes different  
16 environmental loads. This is significantly different with the model that did  
17 not consider the change of the concentration of substance in the wastewater,  
18 such as Duchin (1990) and Lin (2009, 2011). In those models, because the  
19 environmental loads are not affected by the composition of substances, if we  
20 draw the same figure, a horizontal surface will be shown.

21 The environmental load is related not only with the substances but also  
22 the volume of wastewater. Fixed other factors, we changed the volume of

1 wastewater from 0.5 billion m<sup>3</sup> to 1 billion m<sup>3</sup>. With the increase of the  
2 volume, the environmental loads of the two figures are both larger than  
3 before. The change of volume affects both the level of environmental loads  
4 and the slopes of the surfaces. When the volume of wastewater doubles, the  
5 environmental loads related to the volume double. As a result, the slopes of  
6 the surfaces are larger than before. As an exogenous factor, the technology  
7 will also affect the model. When the treatment methods changes from above-  
8 mentioned path 1 to path 2 because of the change of TOC concentration, both  
9 the slopes and the level of the surfaces are changed.

## 10 **4 Conclusion and discussion**

11 The principle of our new model is to disaggregate wastes into the substance  
12 level and define them as the new “waste” in WIOS, by using the unit-process  
13 data at the substance level provided by the academic researches and reports,  
14 such as Tanaka and Matsuto (1998) and Köhler et al. (2007). Meanwhile,  
15 the total volume or mass of the waste is still related to the inputs to the  
16 treatment activities. For instance the electricity for secondary wastewater  
17 treatment is related to the total quantity of wastewater. Thus, the proposed  
18 model focus not only on the substance composition of wastes but also the  
19 quantity of the wastes.

20 The WIOS can measure the treatment processes and environmental loads  
21 in a more accurate way. Meanwhile, the WIOS traces the source and desti-

1 nation of crucial substances, which is highly useful in the waste management  
2 (Brunner and Ma, 2009). By using the data given by Köhler et al. (2007) and  
3 German IO table of 2007, we build the WIOS for wastewater treatment. The  
4 result shows that the environmental load is affected by the concentration of  
5 TOC. This is significantly different with the simulation result given by the  
6 hybrid model that did not take the concentration into account.

7 The WIOS can be considered as an extension of WIO, which involves the  
8 engineering model(e.g. the engineering model of wastewater (Köhler et al.,  
9 2007) or the engineering model of municipal solid waste management (Tana-  
10 ka and Matsuto, 1998)) into the linear calculation system. In other words,  
11 the WIOS decides the composition of waste and the use of treatment inputs  
12 endogenously by involving an engineering model at substance level. As a  
13 limitation of the current WIOS, the generation of wastewater by the eco-  
14 nomic sectors was not considered. Because the wastewater is disaggregated  
15 into substance level in the WIOS, if data is available, technically we can  
16 consider the composition change of the wastewater due to the production of  
17 the treatment inputs.

18 A number of limitations of this study and future research areas are due.  
19 First, the application of WIOS needs high quality data at the substance level.  
20 When we want to apply the WIOS to other examples, such as analyzing  
21 the amounts of crude oil input and ash generation per unit of waste at an  
22 incineration process that are generally affected by the composition of waste,  
23 the engineering model or data about this topic at substance level is necessary.

1 Modeling the most relevant substances separately and the others as a whole  
2 is a solution of the data quality problem. Second, in some cases the effects of  
3 substances are not independent (Metcalf & Eddy, inc, 2003). A model that  
4 is able to consider this situation is an important future direction. Third, in  
5 the application of WIOS to wastewater only one type of environmental loads  
6 was considered, inclusion of other environmental loads such as the release of  
7  $\text{NH}_4^+ - \text{N}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ , and heavy metals is another future direction.

## 8 **References**

- 9 A. P. H. Association, A. W. W. Association, W. P. C. Federation, and W. E.  
10 Federation. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewa-*  
11 *ter*, volume 2. American Public Health Association., 1912.
- 12 P. Brunner and H. Ma. Substance flow analysis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*,  
13 13(1):11–14, 2009.
- 14 P. Brunner and H. Rechberger. *Practical handbook of material flow analysis*.  
15 DC: lewis Publishers, 2004.
- 16 F. Duchin. The conversion of biological materials and wastes to useful prod-  
17 ucts. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 1(2):243–261, 1990.
- 18 G. Finnveden, A. Albertsson, J. Berendson, E. Eriksson, L. Hoglund,  
19 S. Karlsson, and J. Sundqvist. Solid waste treatment within the frame-

- 1 work of life-cycle assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 3(4):189–199,  
2 1995.
- 3 R. Frischknecht, N. Jungbluth, H. Althaus, G. Doka, R. Dones, T. Heck,  
4 S. Hellweg, R. Hischer, T. Nemecek, G. Rebitzer, et al. The ecoinvent  
5 database: Overview and methodological framework (7 pp). *The Interna-*  
6 *tional Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 10(1):3–9, 2005.
- 7 B. Galan and I. Grossmann. Optimal design of distributed wastewater treat-  
8 ment networks. *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, 37(10):4036–  
9 4048, 1998.
- 10 Geneva. International standard 14040: Environmental management -life cy-  
11 cle assessment-principles and framework. *International Organization for*  
12 *Standardization (ISO)*, 1997.
- 13 R. Hernandez-Suarez, J. Castellanos-Fernandez, and J. Zamora. Superstruc-  
14 ture decomposition and parametric optimization approach for the synthe-  
15 sis of distributed wastewater treatment networks. *Industrial & engineering*  
16 *chemistry research*, 43(9):2175–2191, 2004.
- 17 C. Huang, C. Chang, H. Ling, and C. Chang. A mathematical program-  
18 ming model for water usage and treatment network design. *Industrial &*  
19 *engineering chemistry research*, 38(7):2666–2679, 1999.
- 20 IChemE Education Subject Group. Chemicals cost guide, 2002. URL [http:](http://ed.icheme.org/costchem.html)  
21 [//ed.icheme.org/costchem.html](http://ed.icheme.org/costchem.html). Accessed February 2002.

- 1 A. Köhler, S. Hellweg, E. Recan, and K. Hungerbühler. Input-dependent life-  
2 cycle inventory model of industrial wastewater-treatment processes in the  
3 chemical sector. *Environmental science & technology*, 41(15):5515–5522,  
4 2007. ISSN 0013-936X.
- 5 Y. Kondo and S. Nakamura. Waste input–output linear programming model  
6 with its application to eco-efficiency analysis. *Economic Systems Research*,  
7 17(4):393–408, 2005.
- 8 S. Lim, D. Park, and J. Park. Environmental and economic feasibility study  
9 of a total wastewater treatment network system. *Journal of environmental*  
10 *management*, 88(3):564–575, 2008.
- 11 C. Lin. Hybrid input–output analysis of wastewater treatment and environ-  
12 mental impacts: a case study for the tokyo metropolis. *Ecological Eco-*  
13 *nomics*, 68(7):2096–2105, 2009. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.02.002.
- 14 C. Lin. Identifying lowest-emission choices and environmental pareto fron-  
15 tiers for wastewater treatment wastewater treatment input-output model  
16 based linear programming. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 2011.
- 17 Metcalf & Eddy, inc. *Wastewater Engineering, Treatment and Reuse*. New  
18 York: McGraw-Hill, fourth edition, 2003.
- 19 S. Nakamura and Y. Kondo. Input–output analysis of waste management.  
20 *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 6(1):39–63, 2002.



- 1 S. Nakamura and Y. Kondo. *Waste Input–output analysis*. Eco-Efficiency in  
2 Industry and Science. New York: Springer, 2009.
- 3 R. Smith and P. Varbanov. What’s the price of steam? *Chemical engineering*  
4 *progress*, 101(7):29–33, 2005.
- 5 Statistisches Bundesamt Deutschland. *German input output table Yearbook*  
6 *2007*. statistisches Bundesamt deutschland, 2007.
- 7 S. Suh. Functions, commodities and environmental impacts in an ecological-  
8 economic model. *Ecological Economics*, 48(4):451–467, 2004.
- 9 S. Suh, M. Lenzen, G. Treloar, H. Hondo, A. Horvath, G. Huppes, O. Jol-  
10 liet, U. Klann, W. Krewitt, O. Yuichi Moriguchi, et al. System boundary  
11 selection in life-cycle inventories using hybrid approaches. *Environmental*  
12 *Science & Technology*, 38(3):657–664, 2004.
- 13 K. Takase, Y. Kondo, and A. Washizu. An analysis of sustainable consump-  
14 tion by the waste input-output model. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 9  
15 (1-2):201–219, 2005.
- 16 S. Tanaka and T. Matsuto. Report on the development of the evaluation  
17 system that supports the integrated control of municipal waste. *Graduate*  
18 *School of Environmental Engineering, Hokkaido University*, 1998.