



A New Interregional Input-Output Model with Endogenous Self-sufficiency Rate

Qi <u>SU</u>

Coauthor: Jian XU ; Zichuan GUO University of Chinese Academy of Sciences



OZ MZ **T**S





Micro-foundations

Introduction



ICIO Model Restructuring



The Impact of Tariff



Results



Introduction

Introduction

CHN-U.S.

Global trade is on track to hit a record \$33 trillion by 2024.



US-China trade war tariffs: An up-to-date chart

Last updated May 14, 2025

a. US-China tariff rates toward each other and rest of world (ROW)

- Chinese tariffs on US exports - US tariffs on Chinese exports --- Chinese tariffs on ROW exports --- US tariffs on ROW exports



Introduction

CHN-U.S.

b. Percent of US-China trade subject to trade war tariffs





Is the use of tariffs effective?

- The direct effects include an increase in import prices, a rise in domestic substitution demand, changes in fiscal revenue, and initial adjustments in trade structure.
- The indirect effects, gradually propagate through channels such as production networks, intermediate input prices, resource allocation, consumer behavior, and firm expectations.



- Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) models capture how markets co-adjust in multi-sector, multi-country settings. They are widely used to simulate tariff shocks on economic structure, welfare, and global value chains (Shoven & Whalley, 1984; Liu & Ma, 2022 ;Kahn et al., 2024;).Today, CGE models are standard tools for tariff evaluation by the WTO, IMF, and World Bank.
- Structural models, by specifying explicit causal mechanisms and structural parameters, identify how tariffs transmit through prices, output, and supply chains. They are well-suited to uncover policy transmission channels at the micro level. (Eaton & Kortum, 2002; Caliendo & Parro , 2015; Arkolakis et al, 2023; Kreuter & Riccaboni, 2023)

One key challenge in modeling tariff shocks lies in measuring the numerous indirect effects they generate.

- **Input-output models,** as a framework to analyze the interdependence of industries , have been a critical tool for measuring the production network effect.
- (Feenstra, 1994; Broda and Weinstein, 2006).
- (Zhang et al., 2020; Fontagné et al., 2022)/(Dietzenbacher and van der Linden, 1997; Los et al., 2016).
- (Tian et al., 2021; Giammetti et al., 2020; Wu and Luo, 2016; Sonis et al., 2000).



In trade theory, the Armington specification assume that product varieties from different places of production are imperfect substitutes.(Armington, 1969).

Extensive empirical research has tested this assumption(Saito,2004;Feenstra. et al,2018; Bajzik et al.2020; Caliendo and Parro, 2022; Guerra and Sancho,2024).Their demand for different varieties will depend on the so-called Armington elasticity.

$$\frac{M_i}{D_i} = \left(\frac{p_i^M}{p_i^D}\right)^{-\sigma_i} \left(\frac{1-\delta_i}{\delta_i}\right)^{\sigma_i}$$

Armington Elasticity (Elasticity of Substitution between domestic products and imports) are non-zero

change in Relative price ——> change in M/D(in self-sufficiency level) — > the impact of external shocks

IRIO assume that Armington Elasticity are zero

change in Relative price

self-sufficiency level are constant





How to address the limitations of classic methodologies?

Route 1: Estimate the Price Elasticity of Demand and apply the results to the IRIO model. Considering the substitution of imported goods from the perspective of final demand.

$$\ln Q_X = c + \alpha \ln \frac{R}{P_D} + \beta \frac{P_X}{P_D} + \varepsilon$$

Limitations: When analyzing the propagation of shocks through production networks, the substitution effects between imported and domestic products are still not taken into account.

Route 2: The Hypothetical Extraction Method(HEM) addresses the issue of partial substitution by adjusting the coefficients of the A matrix. (Giammett, 2020)) $\begin{bmatrix} a_{GG} & a_{GE}^* & a_{GR} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{A}^* = \begin{bmatrix} a_{GG} & a_{GE} & a_{GR} \\ a_{EG}^* & a_{EE} & a_{ER} \\ a_{RG} & a_{RE} & a_{RR} \end{bmatrix} \qquad i\dot{m}_i = \varepsilon_{Di}(\gamma_i + \omega_i)$$

Limitations: The issue of substitution when shocks propagate through the production network has not yet been thoroughly addressed.



Introduction The impact of trariff

Pathway 1









Background of the Story

- Consider a static perfectly competitive economy with two countries, n industries
- Each industry produces a single product.
- Product varieties from different places of production are differentiated, with different price (p_i^r, p_i^s)

	Country r	Country s		
Туре	Physical Quantity	Price	Physical Quantity	Price
Product 1(r)	Z_1^r	p_1^r	Z_1^S	p_1^s
Product n(r)	z_n^r	$p_n^{ m r}$	Z_{n}^{s}	p_n^s



Decision of representative producer







CD Function (Acemoglu et al.2012, 2016),

Intermediate Inputs (CES aggregator)

$$z_{ij}^{r} = \left[z_{ij}^{r,r} \frac{\varepsilon_{ir}-1}{\varepsilon_{ir}} + z_{ij}^{s,r} \frac{\varepsilon_{ir}-1}{\varepsilon_{ir}} \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon_{ir}}{\varepsilon_{ir}-1}} \qquad \qquad z_{ij}^{s} = \left[z_{ij}^{r,s} \frac{\varepsilon_{is}-1}{\varepsilon_{is}} + z_{ij}^{s,s} \frac{\varepsilon_{is}-1}{\varepsilon_{is}} \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon_{is}}{\varepsilon_{is}-1}}$$

$$z_{ij}' = \begin{bmatrix} z_{ij}' & \varepsilon_{ir} \\ z_{ij}' & \varepsilon_{ir} \end{bmatrix} \qquad z_{ij}^{s} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{ij} & \varepsilon_{ir} \\ z_{ij}' & \varepsilon_{ir} \end{bmatrix}$$

 z_{ij}^{t} : aggregate inputs made up of domestic and imported inputs. ε_{it} : Armington elasticity

The first stage

MAX Profit: π^t

$$p_i^{ct} = \left((p_i^r)^{1-\varepsilon_{it}} + (p_i^s)^{1-\varepsilon_{it}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon_{it}}} \quad \text{(Dixit and Stiglitz, 1977)}$$

$$\bigvee$$

$$p_i^{ct} z_{ij}^t = p_i^r z_{ij}^{rt} + p_i^s z_{ij}^{st}$$

 $z_j^t = l_j^{t\alpha_{lj}^t} \prod_{i,j} z_{ij}^{t\alpha_{lj}^t} \qquad t = (r,s)$

The first-order condition, a

$$a_{ij}^t = rac{p_i^{ct} z_{ij}^t}{p_j^t z_j^t}$$

 $z_{ij}^{optimum} = z_{ij}^{observed}$

Output elasticity of intermediate inputs

= Intermediate input coefficient(Single-Region Model of competitive type)



The second stage

• MIN TC: $TC_{ij}^t = p_i^r z_{ij}^{rt} + p_i^s z_{ij}^{st}$ $s.t. \, \bar{z}_{ij}^t = \left[z_{ij}^{rt} \frac{\varepsilon_{it}-1}{\varepsilon_{it}} + z_{ij}^{st} \frac{\varepsilon_{it}-1}{\varepsilon_{it}} \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon_{it}-1}{\varepsilon_{it}}}$

 \bar{z}_{ij}^t :The fixed aggregate intermediate inputs which has been decided at the first stage

• The first-order condition,

$$\frac{p_i^r}{p_i^s} = \left(\frac{z_{ij}^{rt}}{z_{ij}^{st}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{it}}} = p_i \qquad t = (r, s) \quad \text{Relative price among countries}$$

Self-sufficiency Rate



A comparative static analysis of parameters

- $\succ \varepsilon_{ir} > 1, \varepsilon_{is} > 1, \ p_i \uparrow (p_i^r \uparrow, \ \overline{p_i^s})$
- $\theta_i^r \downarrow$:Country r has heightened reliance on imports, leading to deepening structural dependence on Country s.
- θ^s_i 1:Country s has intensified its reliance on domestic products, correspondingly diminishing its dependence on Country r.
- $\succ \ \varepsilon_{ir} < 1, \varepsilon_{is} < 1, \ p_i \uparrow (p_i^r \uparrow, \ \overline{p_i^s})$
- θ^r_i 1:Country r has intensified its reliance on domestic products, correspondingly diminishing its dependence on Country s.
- $\theta_i^s \downarrow$:Country r has heightened reliance on imports, leading to deepening structural dependence on Country r.
- $\succ \ \varepsilon_{ir} > 1, \varepsilon_{is} < 1, \ p_i \uparrow (p_i^r \uparrow, \ \overline{p_i^s})$
- $\theta_i^r \downarrow/\theta_i^s \downarrow$: The production networks of the two countries are converging towards structural integration
- $\succ \varepsilon_{ir} < 1, \varepsilon_{is} > 1, p_i \uparrow (p_i^r \uparrow, \overline{p_i^s})$
- > $\theta_i^r \uparrow / \theta_i^s \uparrow$: The production networks of the two countries are undergoing structural decoupling.
- $\succ \varepsilon_{ir} = \varepsilon_{is} = 1$

Relative price has no longer affect self-sufficiency rate.



ICIO Model Restructuring

ICIO Model Restructuring



Balanced relationship:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}^{rr} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}^{rs} + y_i^r = x_i^r$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}^{sr} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}^{ss} + y_i^s = x_i^s$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i}^{r} z_{ij}^{rr} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i}^{r} z_{ij}^{rs} + y_{i}^{r} = p_{i}^{r} z_{i}^{r}$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i}^{s} z_{ij}^{sr} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i}^{s} z_{ij}^{ss} + y_{i}^{s} = p_{i}^{s} z_{i}^{s}$$

Substituting output elasticity of intermediate inputs and self-sufficiency rate.

$$\theta_{ij}^{t} = \frac{p_{i}^{t} z_{ij}^{tt}}{p_{i}^{r} z_{ij}^{rt} + p_{i}^{s} z_{ij}^{st}}$$

$$\theta_{ij}^{t} = \frac{p_{i}^{t} z_{ij}^{tt}}{p_{i}^{r} z_{ij}^{rt} + p_{i}^{s} z_{ij}^{st}}$$

$$= (1 - \theta_{i}^{s}) a_{ij}^{s} p_{j}^{s} z_{j}^{s}$$

$$= (1 - \theta_{i}^{s}) a_{ij}^{s} x_{j}^{s}$$

ICIO Model Restructuring





The matrix form:

$$\hat{\theta}^r A^r X^r + (1 - \hat{\theta}^s) A^s X^s + Y^r = X^r$$

$$(1-\hat{\theta}^r)A^rX^r + \hat{\theta}^sA^sX^s + Y^s = X^s$$

It could be expressed as in the relationship of the change in variables:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta X^r \\ \Delta X^s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I - \hat{\theta}^r A^r & -(1 - \hat{\theta}^s) A^s \\ -(1 - \hat{\theta}^r) A^r & I - \hat{\theta}^s A^s \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta Y^r \\ \Delta Y^s \end{bmatrix}$$





		Country r			Country	S
	$ heta_1^r a_{11}^r$	•••	$\theta_1^r a_{1n}^r$	$(1-\theta_1^s)a_{11}^s$		$(1-\theta_1^s)a_{1n}^s$
Country r	$\theta_{n}^{r}a_{n1}^{r}$		$\theta_{n}^{r}a_{nn}^{r}$	$(1-\theta_n^s)a_{n1}^s$		$(1-\theta_n^s)a_{nn}^s$
-	$(1-\theta_1^r)a_{11}^r$		$(1-\theta_1^r)a_{1n}^r$	$\theta_1^s a_{11}^s$		$\theta_1^s a_{1n}^s$
Country s	$(1-\theta_n^r)a_{n1}^r$		$(1-\theta_n^r)a_{nn}^r$	$\theta_n^s a_{n1}^s$		$\theta_n^s a_{nn}^s$
			$\theta_1^r a_{11}^r =$	$\frac{p_{1}^{r}z_{11}^{rr}}{p_{1}^{r}z_{1}^{r}} = a_{11}^{rr}$		
	a_{11}^{rr}		a_{1n}^{rr}	a_{11}^{rs}		a_{1n}^{rs}
•						
Country r	a_{n1}^{rr}		a_{nn}^r	a_{n1}^{rs}		a_{nn}^{rs}
	a_{11}^{sr}		a_{1n}^{sr}	a_{11}^{ss}		a_{1n}^{ss}
-						
Country s	a_{n1}^{sr}		a_{nn}^{sr}	a_{n1}^{ss}		a_{nn}^{ss}



The impact of tariff



Based on the benchmark model, we extend the two-country framework to a multi-country model.

Trade costs are incorporated by introducing trade markups.

$$\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{s,t} = \tau_{i}^{s,t} d_{i}^{s,t} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{FOB} \xrightarrow{d_{i}^{s,t}: iceburg \ transport \ cost} \mathsf{CIF} \xrightarrow{\tau_{i}^{s,t}: tariff} \mathsf{CFR}$$

The composite price in country t can be rewritten as

Trade cost

$$\tilde{p}_{i}^{ct} = \left(\left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{1,t} p_{i}^{1} \right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} + \left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{2,t} p_{i}^{2} \right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} + \dots + \left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{s,t} p_{i}^{t} \right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} + \dots + \left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{m,t} p_{i}^{m} \right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} \right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}}$$

Country s's share in country t's intermediate input consumption.

$$\tilde{\theta}_{ij}^{s,t} = \frac{\left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{s,t}p_{i}^{s}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}}}{\left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{1,t}p_{i}^{1}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} + \left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{2,t}p_{i}^{2}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} + \dots + \left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{s,t}p_{i}^{t}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}} + \dots + \left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{m,t}p_{i}^{m}\right)^{1-\varepsilon_{i}^{t}}}$$

The production network will undergo structural changes.

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^{1} \\ X^{2} \\ \vdots \\ X^{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I - \hat{\theta}^{1,1} A^{1} & -\hat{\theta}^{1,2} A^{2} & \dots & -\hat{\theta}^{1,m} A^{m} \\ -\hat{\theta}^{2,1} A^{1} & I - \hat{\theta}^{2,2} A^{2} & \dots & -\hat{\theta}^{2,m} A^{m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\hat{\theta}^{m,1} A^{1} & -\hat{\theta}^{m,2} A^{2} & \dots & I - \hat{\theta}^{m,m} A^{m} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Y^{1} \\ Y^{2} \\ \vdots \\ Y^{m} \end{bmatrix}$$



– Empirical Analysis

Pathway 1

